

## Westmorland and Furness Design Code Compliance Checklist

**LUC** 

October 2025

## **Brownfield Homes Small (less than 10 homes)**

	Yes	No	N/A	If 'yes', show <b>how</b> . If 'no',
				justify. Provide evidence.
Context				1.5
Does the proposal demonstrate				
how the design has been				
informed by established				
characteristics and qualities of				
the surrounding environment?				
Does the proposal reflect local				
distinctiveness by				
complementing and enhancing				
the existing built and natural				
environment?				
Does the proposal include a				
site analysis that evaluates the				
constraints and opportunities of				
the site?				
Has your design considered the				
site's drainage, and the				
location(s) of existing water and				
wastewater assets?				
Have you identified whether				
your proposal falls within or				
affects the setting of any				
landscape, ecological, cultural,				
and historic sites and				
designations?				
Does the proposal respond to				
and make a positive				
contribution to the existing				
historic landscape and cultural				
and townscape context?				
Does the proposal consider				
impacts on heritage assets and				
avoid harm to the significance				
of them?				
Climate				
Does the proposal use				
sustainable construction				
methods and materials?				
Does the proposal respond to				
any flood risks on the site?				
Does the proposal contribute				
towards a reduction in flood				
risks and the potential impacts				
of flooding?				
Does the proposal integrate				
Sustainable Drainage Systems				

200	
	_
-	

	Yes	No	N/A	If 'yes', show <b>how</b> . If 'no', justify. Provide evidence.
of an appropriate form and				Jackiy: 1 Torrido otridorido:
scale?				
Does the strategy for the				
proposal focus on reducing the				
impact of urban heat island				
effect, managing stormwater				
effectively, and enhancing the				
natural environment?				
Is the proposal designed so				
that the building form and				
layout are optimised to				
maximise daylight and passive				
solar gains for internal spaces?				
Nature				
Does the proposal adopt a				
landscape first approach to				
green and blue infrastructure?				
Does the proposal deliver good				
quality open space and				
enhance or maintain safe,				
direct and inclusive access to				
nature?				
Does the proposal identify and				
consider priority habitats and				
species within the site and its				
immediate surroundings?				
Does the proposal achieve a				
minimum of 10% Biodiversity				
Net Gain (BNG)?				
Does the proposal retain and				
protect existing hedgerows and				
trees, replace those that must				
be removed and include a				
variety of native species in new				
planting?				
Movement				
Does the proposal prioritise				
sustainable healthy modes of				
travel, cater to all users, ensure				
a permeable and well				
connected street network with				
direct routes for pedestrians,				
and create green corridors?				
Do the proposed public spaces				
respond to the context of the				
place in a positive manner in				
terms of landscaping, material				
choices and durability?				
Are the proposed public spaces				
inclusive, attractive, adaptable				

100	
-	

	Yes	No	N/A	If 'yes', show <b>how</b> . If 'no',
				justify. Provide evidence.
and encourage community				
interaction and social				
cohesion?				
Is the proposed public realm				
lighting designed to ensure				
safety, visibility and				
inclusiveness for all users?				
Does the proposed lighting				
preserve dark skies and				
minimise/avoid light pollution?				
Does proposed external lighting				
accord with the Cumbria Good				
Lighting Technical Advice				
Note?				
Does the car parking provision				
integrate into the design of attractive, distinctive and well-				
functioning places?				
Built Form				
Bailt Form				
Does the design of the				
proposal make a positive				
response to the urban grain of				
the surroundings or settlement				
by respecting its character?				
Does the proposal respond				
positively to the uniform or				
variance of the existing building line and building heights, and				
reinforce existing plot widths in				
the surrounding context?				
Does the proposal ensure that				
public and communal spaces,				
buildings, streets and paths are				
directly overlooked through				
natural surveillance?				
Does the design of the				
proposal ensure there is clear				
and obvious demarcation				
between public and private				
spaces utilising appropriate				
physical boundary treatments				
or landscaping elements?				
Identity				
Have you demonstrated how				
the analysis of the site and its				
context have informed the				
design of the proposal?				
Does the proposal retain				
existing dry stone wall or native				

	Yes	No	N/A	If 'yes', show <b>how</b> . If 'no', justify. Provide evidence.
hedges and use appropriate new boundary features?				
Does the proposal incorporate create and enhance important vistas, viewpoints and sightlines?				
Does the proposal ensure a sympathetic transition between built up areas and the countryside at settlement edges?				
Does the proposal respect the context and prevailing scale of existing traditional buildings?				
If the proposal impacts a conservation area or historic settlement core, does the design reflect the local vernacular tradition and respond to the historic spatial character?				
Do the colours and textures of materials in the proposal harmonise with local character and landscape?				