



Westmorland  
& Furness  
Council

[westmorlandandfurness.gov.uk](http://westmorlandandfurness.gov.uk)

# Westmorland and Furness Design Code Compliance Checklist: Holiday Parks and Cabins



## Holiday Parks, Cabins and Campsites

Question	Answer
<b>Context</b>	
How has the proposal's design been informed by established characteristics and qualities of the surrounding environment? (Code HP 1.1)	
How does the proposal reflect local distinctiveness and complement or enhance the existing built and natural environment? (Code HP 1.2)	
What are the constraints and opportunities of the site, and how has the Proposal addressed these? (Code HP 1.3)	
How has your design considered the site's drainage, and the location(s) of existing water and wastewater assets? (Code HP 1.3)	
Which landscape, ecological, cultural, and historic sites and designations does the proposal fall within or affect the setting of? (Code HP 1.4)	
How does the proposal respond to and make a positive contribution to the existing historic landscape and cultural and townscape context? (Code HP 1.5)	
How does the proposal minimise impacts on heritage assets and avoid harm to their significance? (Code HP 1.5)	
<b>Climate</b>	
Are there any flood risks on the site? If so, how does the proposal respond to these flood risks? (Code HP 2.1)	
How does the proposal contribute towards a reduction in flood risks elsewhere and the potential impacts of flooding elsewhere? (Code HP 2.1)	
How does the proposal integrate Sustainable Drainage Systems of an appropriate form and scale?	

Question	Answer
What are the SuDS components? (Code HP 2.2)	
How does the proposed design manage stormwater effectively, and enhance the natural environment?	
Nature	
How has the proposal adopted a landscape first approach to green and blue infrastructure? For example, by retaining and improving existing green and blue infrastructure improving accessibility to the green and blue infrastructure network, or creating new green or blue infrastructure. (Code HP 3.1)	
How has the proposal integrated new and existing Green and Blue Infrastructure on the site with the wider Green and Blue Infrastructure network in the surroundings of the site? (Code HP 3.1)	
List the different types of interlinked Green and Blue Infrastructure that have been included so that the network is multifunctional (Code HP 3.1)	
How has the proposal identified and considered priority habitats and species, designated sites, protected species and irreplaceable habitats, within the site and its immediate surroundings? (Code HP 3.2)	
Have ecological surveys been undertaken to support the proposals? If so, do the surveys identify and consider the following within the site and immediate surroundings: designated sites, protected / priority species, irreplaceable / priority habitats, watercourses / waterbodies, and invasive species? (Code HP 3.2)	
How does the proposal conserve, enhance and protect via mitigation priority habitats and species, designated sites, protected species and irreplaceable habitats within the site? What compensation measures are proposed for these where adequate conservation and	

Question	Answer
mitigation cannot be achieved (Code HP 3.2)	
How have the proposals taken the Local Nature Recovery Strategy into consideration? (Code HP 3.2)	
What percentage of the proposed new structures include bat, bird, swift, hedgehog, insect habitat enhancement features and how have these features been appropriately placed? Has a plan showing their location been included? (Code HP 3.2)	
How does the proposal include habitats appropriate to local context and ensure they reflect the natural character and biodiversity priorities of the area? (Code HP 3.3)	
How does the proposal design create or enhance habitats as part of a coherent ecological network? (Code HP 3.3)	
How will the proposal retain and protect existing hedgerows and trees, or replace those that must be removed and include a variety of native species in new planting? (Code HP 3.4)	
What percentage of each type of existing habitats has been retained and lost? (Code HP 3.4)	
What percentage of the proposed new planting utilises native species? (Code HP 3.4)	
Do the proposals avoid the planting of Invasive Non-Native Species and Species of Special Concern? (Code HP 3.4)	
<b>Movement</b>	
How does the proposal prioritise sustainable healthy modes of travel, cater to all users, ensure a permeable and well connected street network with direct routes for pedestrians, and create green corridors? (Code HP 4.1)	
What sources of light pollution have been identified and how does the proposed lighting preserve dark skies and minimise/avoid light pollution and impacts on biodiversity? (Code HP 4.2)	

Question	Answer
How does proposed external lighting accord with the <a href="#">Cumbria Good Lighting Technical Advice Note</a> ? (Code HP 4.2)	
How has car parking provision been integrated into the design of attractive, distinctive and well-functioning places? (Code HP 4.3)	
<b>Identity</b>	
How has the analysis of the site and its context informed the design of the proposal?	
What percentage of existing dry stone wall or native hedges does the proposal retain? Which appropriate new boundary features does the proposal include?	
Which important vistas, viewpoints and sightlines does the proposal incorporate, create and enhance? (Code HP 6.1)	
How does the proposal ensure a sympathetic transition between the site and the countryside at the edges? (Code HP 6.2)	
How have the colours and textures of materials been used in the proposal to be harmonious with local character and the landscape? (Code HP 6.3)	
Which building materials are proposed for buildings and structures, and how do they positively respond to the site and its context? (Code HP 6.3)	